

Bill Walton ([00:00:00](#)):

Welcome to the Bill Walton Show. I'm Bill Walton. Well, today we're back with Brandon Weikert, who's been with us many times, who's a national security analyst, and now at the national Interest and author for bestselling books on national security. And also, I'm really happy to have Brian OSHA joining us who's an investigative journalist at the Daily Clout and host of Investigate Everything in Daily Clout. He's got, it looks like three decades at least, of deep experience in the intelligence world and operations in the field, and I would call myself more of a Dante in this area of national security. And I want to learn from these two about what's important right now. The thing that concerns me, of course, is we've got maybe seven weeks left before President Trump is inaugurated and the world seems to be growing more dangerous by the day, and we have things like Russia apparently inventing a new type missile to lo into Ukraine. And then we've got a lot of movement in Syria in the Middle East, and I just heard in the Balkans as well. And so I wanted to get a picture for all of us of where we are and how we ought to think about it. So Brian, let me kick off with you. You were talking just before about how you see things shaping up right now.

Brian O'Shea ([00:01:31](#)):

Yeah, and thanks for having me on. Good to see you both. What I'm seeing right now, especially with Ukraine, is there seems to be a drumbeat to get boots on the ground. So there's a couple of things that led up to this. First of all, obviously the election happened, then all of a sudden it was okay for Ukraine to use those short range missiles or intermediate range missiles that had been sitting there for like six months. Then after that, right after Biden's visit recently to Peru, the last person he met with was Xi Jinping prior to authorizing the use of those missiles. And then on the Sunday show right after that, we had Jake Sullivan on PBS, who's talking about, Hey, we've sent them all this money, all these weapons, nothing seems to be turning the dial. What they really need is to mobilize manpower. To me, that sounds like they're trying to prep the US audience to get boots on the ground, which will really create a quagmire in Ukraine that'll make it really tough to just get people out of.

Bill Walton ([00:02:34](#)):

So is this an attempt to Trump to pin Trump down when he is inaugurated?

Brian O'Shea ([00:02:39](#)):

I think it is. I would refer to Brandon on that. He's more on that DC side while I was,

Bill Walton ([00:02:45](#)):

Brandon, you want to take that? Is this a nefarious scheme?

Brandon Weichert ([00:02:49](#)):

It certainly looks like it. Now, a colleague of mine, Mike Bens has been saying for two weeks that he thinks that Biden and Trump are actually coordinating this. I am not convinced what's going on. I think that this is the Democrats and Biden and the globalists of the West trying to basically hem Trump in because they know that Trump, if left to his own devices, will get a deal in his first a hundred days, a ceasefire over Ukraine, which will then basically negate the last four years of Biden's failed foreign policy. And they don't want that.

Brian O'Shea ([00:03:26](#)):

Yeah, I would agree with that. Yeah, I would totally agree with that too. And I would recommend, when people think about this, I know Brandon does, when we see these big moves, not every plot is coordinated. So for instance, the authorization of the, what do you call 'em? Random, the attack attack him made by Lockheed Martin. That was really, the drum beat for that was really amplified by the Texas congressman who just happens to have Lockheed Martin in his adjacent district. McCall McCall. Thank you, Mike McCall. And so they really push these. So the point is a lot of these things are about money. It's not just about locking Trump down. Think of it like those big whales that move and then all the little fish attached to eat the algae. That's how I look at the defense contractors and the politicians and the opportunists in these situations. So they're parallel, but they're not always coordinated.

Bill Walton ([00:04:30](#)):

Yeah, I think that's, well, I'm shocked that the Biden administration will put their legacy over national security interests, but maybe I'm not shocked.

Brian O'Shea ([00:04:41](#)):

Well, we'll never really know Obama. I mean, sorry, Biden's plan until it's revealed.

Bill Walton ([00:04:49](#)):

Yeah. Hey, what about this missile that they fired? Pronounce it for me, the Renick Renick? Yeah. Is that a game changer or is that just cobbling together pieces of existing weaponry? No,

Brandon Weichert ([00:05:06](#)):

It's a game changer. Actually. I was communicating with our mutual friend, Steven, Brian, about hypersonics in general in the last 24 hours. And he told me he confirmed what I've said to you in the past on the show is that Russia is light years ahead of the United States in terms of practical applications of hypersonic weapons. So we've got all the theories in the world, but we don't have actual real working, excuse me, real world working systems. The Russians not only have these systems, they've got three or four different classes of them, of different lethality. And the Reic, which was used against Nepro about a week or two ago in response to the attack hims being used by Ukraine, which were fired into Russia, that Nik was a signal to the West. We have a weapon in Russia that can reach anywhere in Europe, and there is no real active air defense that can stop it.

([00:06:06](#)):

And that's not necessarily because of the speed. That's important as well. What about mock 10? But that's more because a hypersonic weapon performs radical maneuvers on its way down to target before it Merv, it separates into multiple independent warheads, and then it hits those radical maneuvers, stymie, conventional air defense systems. I have been told the only defense system that America has is this Thad missile system. We've only got about seven of them and those batteries, and we're not going to be sending those in to Ukraine because the fear is that the Russians will be able to destroy them and we won't be able to replace them in a timely manner.

Bill Walton ([00:06:49](#)):

Well, didn't we go in, I mean, the illusions that the Biden administration had in NATO when they went into defending Ukraine the way they did, and also the illusion that they're going to force Ukraine into nato and Putin wouldn't respond, but we ought to talk about that. But I think we're seeing though, that as a result of this war, most of the conventional weapons that the West has, and now it looks like

nuclear capability where we thought we had superiority. We do not. And in fact, if you look at utility where the NATO countries are in terms of buildup of defense, Europe's now become undefended undefensible.

Brandon Weichert ([00:07:37](#)):

Oh, it's completely indefensible. And I would just say about the nuclear issue, well, our nuclear arsenal has been basically withering on the vine for about 20 or 30 years now. There's a great book in 2013 by Paul Bracken, and I'm forgetting the title of it now, but he basically did a deep dive assessment and he found that most of our, I think it's almost a third of our nuclear weapons if fired, might not be able to be fired because they've been in such disrepair, used to be during the Cold War, one of the highest honors you could have as an Air Force officer was to operate a nuclear missile silo. After the Cold War ended, that became where the third tier officers went. Nobody wanted that. So you don't have the talent and you don't have the technology. And then the policymakers, notably Obama, the policymakers though, they tried for 30 years to diminish the importance of nuclear weapons for our national defense. Obama famously, after he did a new start deal with Russia in 2010, that allowed for Russia to build out their nuclear weapons arsenal, he then turned around and hemmed in our nuclear weapons arsenal saying that he wanted to get to zero nuclear weapons in his lifetime. And so now we're seeing the fruits of that. We're seeing the results of that shortsightedness where the Russians have a very modern, robust nuclear weapons capability, not only with conventional nukes, but also with these hypersonic weapons. And we don't,

Brian O'Shea ([00:09:09](#)):

Yeah, and I totally agree with that. And I just want to kind of amplify for the audience that thanks to my 13-year-old son who is my primary education in Russian weaponry, he's fascinated by it for some reason. But we were looking at the different capabilities, especially that Russia has and these weapons today dwarf what hit Hiroshima by miles. So for instance, I think it's called the Satan two from Russia that's got a 15 kilometer fireball, and that is just terrifying. And Brandon's right, they've just been continuing on their nuclear program and we've left ourselves exposed, and I think by design, I could get into that a little later on why I think that is in terms of what's going on with the disillusionment of Biden, obviously I think we can always say Biden in quotes because this man doesn't seem to be able to find his way out of a jungle in Peru,

Brandon Weichert ([00:10:18](#)):

Can't walk

Brian O'Shea ([00:10:18](#)):

On a beach. But he looked really good in his crocodile Dundee denim. But the thing is, I really have always said since this whole thing started that it was a replacement for the ATM machine for the defense industry and for the politicians that Afghanistan had been. And August 15th, 2021, we hand Afghanistan over to a fictitious ISIS K, which was really just Taliban with another name. And then what, February six months later, we got to go to Ukraine. And it was really interesting because a lot of the NGOs were set up prior to the first bombs dropped in Ukraine. And so it's almost like the entire apparatus was set up prior to, and then right around October, 2015, people were beating the drum on trying to do that with Tucker Carlson saying, we've got to go to Ukraine. Hats off to Tucker. He is like, why? And so it does seem to me like the war in Ukraine is designed to be one of these forever wars just to replace Afghanistan.

Bill Walton ([00:11:33](#)):

We've been pushing back on the Afghanistan or Afghanistan, Ukraine from day one. But you said something interesting. They were setting up the NGOs before Putin rolled across the line. These are the relief organizations. So the charge here is this has been an American proxy war trying to get rid of Putin, and it's been a complete failure. And we've slaughtered hundreds and hundreds of thousands of Ukrainians. I'm not sure how many Russians, I know most of the statistics come from the UK Ukraine, Ukrainians. I'm not sure what the casualties actually are, but this is cynical beyond belief if that was true. And also we basically had defense contractors standing by ready to profit from it.

Brandon Weichert ([00:12:25](#)):

And the crazy thing is, is that the defense contractors weren't ready. They wanted these contracts and yet they couldn't even set aside all the morality of it. It's terrible. But they couldn't even meet the need because what we're finding is that Ukraine three weeks ago only had about 50 attack of missiles. They've now blown through about 30 of them. My count is between 30 and 40, which means they've only got about 10 of those systems left. They have maybe a little bit more of those British storm shadow

Brian O'Shea ([00:12:53](#)):

Missiles, storm shadows,

Brandon Weichert ([00:12:54](#)):

Which is similar to the attack, but basically they're basically running a full house off a pair, and they just expect that somehow the Americans are, and I think this is where this is going, the expectation is we're going to knock over this beehive in Russia and we're going to not have enough of these resources to hold out against them. And that's going to escalate into nato then needs to step in directly. The Russians are not going to be deterred by 50, attack them and maybe 50 or so storm shadows. It's just not going to stop them. They're fighting a war of attrition. This is the classic Russian way of war. They win wars of attrition

Brian O'Shea ([00:13:32](#)):

And they do. And if you watch, I mean, you have to go to Rumble and find those kind of nerdy guys that are tracking these things on satellites by the pixel. But of those, if you really, I've become one of those, I've become one of those. But you're right. I mean it's classic Russian style of fighting where they move up and they secure, they move up and they secure. They also do this thing that I've observed where they draw their enemies in almost like you punch a blob in the Marvel comics and your Fisk gets stuck, and they do seem to draw them in and then encircle them and then crush them and move in on them. But I think what

Brandon Weichert ([00:14:15](#)):

They did to the Nazis,

Brian O'Shea ([00:14:16](#)):

Totally,

Brandon Weichert ([00:14:17](#)):

And

Brian O'Shea ([00:14:17](#)):

I think what people should really pay attention to beyond just the dramatic visions of those Russian missiles, which were just honestly awe inspiring, but the chess player behind them. So here you have Putin saying, Hey, we're lowering the threshold for the use of tactical nukes, and then Zelensky fires missiles. And he had the restraint to hold back and wait for that. He knew he would know Zelensky Putin knew Zelensky would fire those missiles, but he could wait through all that and then he just nails them with this hand of God type missile.

Brandon Weichert ([00:15:01](#)):

Yeah. I also think that Putin is keenly aware that Biden is out of office in 50 days or so. And I said this on other shows before, I think you and I two weeks ago spoke about this, Brian, I think that the globalists are going to go crazy trying to provoke a situation with Russia that Trump can't get us out of. But I think ultimately Putin is smart enough and wily enough to know that the American leadership that's doing this to him is going to be gone in about 50 days. And so he's got to just basically hunker down and hold out until Trump's inaugurated. Now the interesting thing is going to be because it looks like they're nominating general Kellogg to be the Ukraine envoy for Trump, who is more of a hawk. He was vice president Mike Pence's, national security advisor. So that should tell you some of his politics. It's going to be interesting to see though, if actually Trump is going to get sabotaged from within again, like he did in his first term. My only thing to that is it looks like Kellogg wrote a paper in April of this year for America First Policy Institute where he was working. And it actually sounds very reasonable, and I think if Putin doesn't get provoked so badly that he's forced to react, I think we'll be okay until Trump gets in office and then we'll get a ceasefire.

Bill Walton ([00:16:23](#)):

Well, I've worked with Kellogg in the 2016 transition, and he's a NeoCon. I mean, he essentially was part of the,

Brian O'Shea ([00:16:33](#)):

He's a PE guy,

Bill Walton ([00:16:35](#)):

And so why did Trump pick him?

Brian O'Shea ([00:16:37](#)):

I see it. Oh, go ahead.

Brandon Weichert ([00:16:39](#)):

No,

Bill Walton ([00:16:39](#)):

No, no. Brian, please go.

Brian O'Shea ([00:16:40](#)):

I mean, I see knowing Trump as we all have read about him, I've met him once, but I see it as a kind of waving a stick at Putin like, look, I got the NeoCon hawk. He's ready to rock where you negotiate. And I

think on the back end, what Putin's going to want is to maintain the levels of gas and oil to the United States that they have now, which were the third largest customers since the start of the Ukraine war. So I think that's what Putin will want. His biggest problem is going to be like, okay, if they're going to open up oil in the west, that's kind of a problem. Europe's going to keep buying it from him. So he doesn't have to worry about that. They never stopped, but he needs that. And I think Trump, what he always does is no one ever knows what he's thinking, but my guess on that pick is it's kind of a bit of a negotiating type of chip in the game. Look who I got. I can let him run this or I can run. It depends if you negotiate with me

Brandon Weichert ([00:17:46](#)):

Or not. But Bill, it is interesting. It is interesting though because he is a NeoCon Kellogg, but yet he wrote this deep policy paper in April, and I don't know, maybe he was just trying to pitch himself for the job, but it was very clear that he is going to push for a peace deal as well as Trump. So it might be that Brian is this, he's right that this is just the carrot and the stick approach. Ultimately, I think Putin knows this. They've had time to study Trump in Russia, and they understand he's going to drive a hard bargain, and there's ultimately a place for a deal. I mean, you're a deal maker, so this bill better than I do. There is a middle ground here where everybody can get something. So I think ultimately this is all just sort of the great dance before negotiations begin.

Bill Walton ([00:18:31](#)):

Well, and Keith's allowed to change his mind. I mean, looking at the evidence, it's clear that the thing has been a disaster. The thing I don't understand is nato, is Jen Stenberg still head of that or is he moved out? But he's been an unbelievable hawk in this thing, as have the Brits and the Brits have an army that's smaller than the army they had when they fought to preserve the colonies in 17, whatever it was. I mean, you've got all these people with nothing on the shelf to defend themselves, acting like Elmer Fudd.

Brandon Weichert ([00:19:03](#)):

Yeah, they're the Chihuahuas nipping at your,

Bill Walton ([00:19:08](#)):

What's this about?

Brian O'Shea ([00:19:10](#)):

Well, I would just say this, Brandon is, I love being Brandon because he is, he's like the rain man of geopolitics. This guy's got so many facts in his head. So let me bring a little humorous comparison. As I see nato, NATO is like that girl in how to lose guy in 10 days who sits in the movie theater, picks a fight with the big guy and says, my boyfriend will kick your butt. And we're the boyfriend now we're stuck with it. They're always picking fights with our military essentially.

Bill Walton ([00:19:45](#)):

It's true. Well, have we committed any soldiers there? I mean it would be special ops

Brandon Weichert ([00:19:51](#)):

Officially or unofficially. What are you looking for here?

Bill Walton ([00:19:54](#)):

Well, officially, of course not. But what's really happening,

Brian O'Shea ([00:19:57](#)):

We have counter artillery in Georgia right now with a private contractor advisor since the beginning of this thing.

Brandon Weichert ([00:20:04](#)):

And more importantly, I live not far from Naples. There is a gentleman from Naples who was a former Navy Seal who they claimed was AWOL from as Syria who was killed last year in heavy fighting in Ukraine. And I do not believe for a second that this guy was a volunteer. I believe he was a CIA, I think he was CIA know we have CIA paramilitaries all over that country. We've got special forces in advisory roles. Anybody who studied the Vietnam War knows likely those advisors are going into combat as much as the Ukrainians are that they're training. I actually talked about two years ago at the start of the war, there was a contingent of Australian special forces who were there under the flag of Ukraine's foreign brigade. But as this guy told me, we were absolutely working for Western Intelligence. We basically, we were there because of Western intelligence. That's where they wanted us. And so we can go on about Western intelligence. Is that an

Bill Walton ([00:21:10](#)):

Oxymoron?

Brandon Weichert ([00:21:12](#)):

It certainly is. In this case it seems that

Bill Walton ([00:21:14](#)):

Way. Four years.

Brandon Weichert ([00:21:15](#)):

Yeah. It's not grand strategy anymore. It's great stupidity. And that's where we are.

Bill Walton ([00:21:22](#)):

So what happens with nato, because it seems to me Trump famously told NATO they've got to pay their dues. And what is it 2% of gdp DP that they're supposed to cough up or 3%? Well, Steven, Brian, the brilliant Steven Brian wrote a reported out a great report that showed the Germans were paying even more for military supplies than the Pentagon does. And it's just a complete waste of their defense budget. And they're not getting any bang for their Deutsche mark or Euro and Euro. It seems like NATO has outlived its usefulness.

Brian O'Shea ([00:22:05](#)):

I think it has. And look, I think Trump has shown with his pulling out of the WHO in his first term that he will do it. And I think they're taking this seriously because in the last month or so you've seen Poland really ramp up their border defenses. And they're talking in nato like this is some novel concept. They're like, Hey guys, we may want to up our defense budgets because we really need to start protecting ourselves. And I'm listening to this going, my God. And then of course Canada, I think they've been

below 1.9. It's an embarrassment. And I have a lot of friends in Canadian special forces, but I don't think they could even fight a war right now. No. And everyone's on the inner circle there. The security

Brandon Weichert ([00:22:56](#)):

Council, let me just give you a fun anecdote. I've been for the national interest doing a deep dive on Canada's military capabilities. And needless to say, it's not going very deep because, so in terms of submarines, they're celebrating in Canada, we're going to build 12 new nuclear submarines by 2036. How many nuclear submarines do they have now? They've got four. Two of them are port at all times. This is like the 1990s Russia with how they couldn't feel the Navy. Really, Canada is one of the worst sick men of the NATO alliance. And so in my opinion, in my opinion, NATO should go away. I don't know if it's going to though, because some of the people Trump has surrounded himself by again, seem to be more of sort of that Atlantis mentality where we have to have nato. I do think though Trump is going to basically be the landlord collecting overdue rent, which is fine, but I think in an ideal world, NATO goes away because NATO is the provocateur. It is the reason why we have been in every major fight, at least since 2011. Remember, Libya was a NATO operation. Syria was to some degree, at least with Turkey, a NATO operation. And now everything going on in Eastern Europe and Russia, this is all NATO's doing. And NATO can't handle it. It's really just a America coming in and saving everybody. And we can't do it anymore. We don't have the defense industrial base for it. Exactly. And we don't have the military for it anymore. We're barely holding it together as it is.

Brian O'Shea ([00:24:30](#)):

And I would agree with that totally. And not to mention the longstanding mfo and poor SAE and all of these longstanding like defensive missions that we've been doing with nato, and I think Brandon makes a good point. I could see him being the landlord collecting rents. That's a great way to reference it. And Trump can also do what he almost did last time, just pull all our troops out of Europe, which say, okay, we'll stay in nato. You guys pay your share. We'll stay, but you better come up with some troops. You better come up with your share of this. And if you get attacked, then yeah, maybe we'll deploy troops. We'll talk about it, but get them off of Europe so they're not trip wires taking for granted. We don't want trip wires. Yeah, exactly.

Bill Walton ([00:25:15](#)):

Well, let's get into Putin's mind. Let's suppose all that happens. And

Brian O'Shea ([00:25:21](#)):

I love the way that he sings Blueberry Hill. So I think secretly he's a hopeless romantic

Bill Walton ([00:25:30](#)):

Putin on the blitz. Well, he is in a way in terms of restoring the Russian Empire to its greatness. But does he then, if that happens, the way they sold the idea that we had to be as aggressive as we were in Ukraine was that if Putin succeeded in Ukraine, he would then roll on to the rest of Europe. What do you think about that?

Brian O'Shea ([00:25:54](#)):

Can I go really quick? Yeah, take

Brandon Weichert ([00:25:56](#)):

Your

Bill Walton ([00:25:56](#)):

Time.

Brian O'Shea ([00:25:56](#)):

I get into so many debates about this with younger people. I'll just put it that way. I think that argument defeats itself. So the same people that will tell you that you got to stop, and at Ukraine, otherwise democracy all over the world will fall. But then the same people are saying, Hey, Ukraine's beating the Russian army. And so my argument there is like, okay, well if Russia can't get past the Ukrainian army, which seems to be largely now made up of 18 year olds and 86 year olds, what are you worried about?

Bill Walton ([00:26:30](#)):

Right. Well, yeah. And if I could just pick, yeah, I'm sorry. Go ahead. Quickly, what are the casualties on either side that you guys suspect is the real number?

Brandon Weichert ([00:26:41](#)):

Well,

Brian O'Shea ([00:26:42](#)):

Go ahead, Brenda.

Brandon Weichert ([00:26:42](#)):

Well, the Russian side I think is at least half a million. The Ukrainian side is similar to that. The really interesting thing about Ukraine is something like one third of its population has been depleted. I think they've lost like 24 million people. I always get this number confused. We can look it up later. But they've lost almost. I know they've lost almost one third of their population, either through being killed, captured, or refugee flows out of the country.

Bill Walton ([00:27:10](#)):

I'd heard it drop from roughly 40 million to 25 million.

Brandon Weichert ([00:27:13](#)):

That's it. That's the one, yes. Yeah, exactly. So that's about a, yeah. Yeah, that's even more than that. Yeah. So there's no coming back for this, for Ukraine, for Russia. They've lost a lot of people. I spoke to a woman, actually the wife of a friend of mine back in a month ago who her father died, and she's from Moscow. So she went back to Moscow to bury her dad, and she stayed there for about six weeks. And she said that in terms of Moscow, she said the city was like never before. She said it certainly was more vibrant and alive than it was in the Soviet era. And she told me that the war in Ukraine for Russia is very similar to what the war in Iraq was for the Americans where it is deeply divisive, it is politically toxic for Putin. And yet the core contingent of Russians because of Russian national pride, they want to win.

([00:28:08](#)):

They want at least Putin to walk away with the territories he had before. He wants that. They want that to be officially recognized as Russian territory, which is where you're going to get the basis of a deal.

Right now, you can't do that though because the globalists don't want to even recognize the territory that the Russians have held at least since 2014. So there is this sort of divisiveness in Russian society, and they've lost a lot of people, but they're committed now. And when Putin says this is an existential war, most Russians agree. And when you're talking existential wars, you're not talking about someone who's going to give up very easily.

Brian O'Shea ([00:28:44](#)):

And you also, a great point you make there is they have the national pride and they have the youth pride. That blows my mind. If you go to any popular Russian, I dunno what you call 'em, pop bands or anything, I mean, they have the sexy girl bands in Russian military uniforms doing some pretty cool hip hop. I mean, they've got the backing of the people. And these are also, I don't want to say acceptable losses for the Russians, but they're not new to them. And bear in mind, 20 million

Brandon Weichert ([00:29:14](#)):

People in World War ii,

Brian O'Shea ([00:29:16](#)):

I mean, yeah, exactly. And just in their own training exercises, like in the eighties, they do live fire exercises and real troops would die. They actually have monuments in Russia, and you're like, what is that? He died at the battle of that. I've never heard of that. Well, it was an exercise, so I'm not saying, yeah, I'm not going to get in Putin's head say whether or not he cares about his soldiers, but I will say that the Russian people care about their country. Right,

Bill Walton ([00:29:41](#)):

Right. Yeah. And I think that's key. What are the knockoff effects here? I mean, let's hope that Donald comes in and Kellogg's successful and we reach some sort of negotiated stand down, and Putin saves face. It seems like Zelinsky is gone, but there's spillover. You were talking about the Balkans and some other, and of course Brandon, you wanted to talk about what's happening in Syria. Is all this erupting because of the way we've mishandled Ukraine?

Brandon Weichert ([00:30:13](#)):

Yes, and it's all connected. It's all connected to Ukraine. So basically, I think it was either with you, bill or Brian, I previously made the comment that basically if Trump can get in and in his first a hundred days get a deal over Ukraine, suddenly the knock on effects are the Middle East crisis dies down a little bit. It becomes more manageable because the Russians aren't going to be poking us in the Middle East because there's peace in Ukraine, which is their big deal that the situation between Trump and Kim Jong-UN can get better because Kim will not be getting paid to support the Russians anymore, and the Chinese and Russians will naturally start to drive a little bit apart because they won't be united by that Ukraine war, which will not be going on anymore. Conversely, the longer the Ukraine war goes on, the more it gives nefarious actors on both sides, but notably in Western intelligence, the ability to basically muck things up even more.

([00:31:08](#)):

And what you're seeing in places like Syria especially, but also Georgia, where there's these protests erupting, and the president there who's pro EU has stayed in, I think many months after her term ended, just like zelensky. What you're seeing in Syria, what you're seeing in Georgia, this is not organic.

This is the coordinated effort of Western intelligence services that are committed to stopping Trump from being able to negotiate a ceasefire over Ukraine trying to antagonize the situation on the Russian periphery so much that even if the Russians got to deal with the Americans on Ukraine, there's going to be so many inflammation points elsewhere. Russia will continue to be an enemy, which is what they want. They want Russia as an enemy all the time.

Brian O'Shea ([00:31:52](#)):

Yeah, that's a great assessment. And it's interesting that I was just listening. I forgot which show I was listening to, but they were talking about how now that the neo-Marxist, the left, whatever you want to call them, the neocons, I've really taken a beating from Trump in terms of trying to influence outcomes. That's gone back to Europe where that's really where a lot of this stuff, it doesn't originate, but it's a globalist type of effort against nationalists and populist leaders. And so you're seeing a lot of the censorship comes out of the UK and you're seeing the same kind of a color revolution playbook. They're ramping it up again all around Russia instead of here in the United States. And it'll eventually come back here too. But I think Brandon's absolutely correct they to keep Putin as the bad guy. I mean, if you see the way they clip his, when he addresses the world about, such as the use of attack him and everything, they always clip it. So he looks the worst. And you have to really dig to find the entire transcript where I'm not saying he's a good guy or a bad guy. What I am saying is he's for his country,

([00:33:01](#)):

And they don't want people to see that. Just like they try to vilify who's at the Hungarian president and the Serbian president and the Japanese prime minister who was assassinated just same way they do at Trump and El Salvador as well. So any nationalist populist type leader, they will vilify. And Putin is the easiest one to do so because they can control that message a lot more. And the Georgia thing's very, very interesting because we've had such a big footprint there of black operations since the beginning of this thing. So it's really easy to mount these protests. God knows they had plenty of practice creating fake protests here in the United States

Brandon Weichert ([00:33:42](#)):

And in Ukraine.

Bill Walton ([00:33:43](#)):

So what about the globalist agenda? It seems that with Trump coming in, it's been a populist wave and an anti woke wave, and that has a knock on effect with a lot of the countries in Europe and the populous movements there. I mentioned, I mentioned on this show, but I was vice chairman of CPAC and we old CPAC conferences in places like Hungary where there's a, you see people in Hungary wearing MAGA hats, and there's an affinity, I think, what's happening in the United States with a lot of the other European countries and real deep distrust of the globalist institutions and Brussels, and I know Brian, I think you're also a member of the Sovereignty Coalition, and we've been fighting to keep the WHO from claiming the right to tell us what to do in case of a next pandemic. This is sort of a multi-part question, but what's this mean big picture?

Brian O'Shea ([00:34:42](#)):

Well, my take on it big picture is there's multiple vectors of attack from the globalists against sovereign nations. I wouldn't just say the United States. So yes, we've beaten back the woke, but we also have to be careful that you're going to have infiltrators into the new

([00:35:04](#)):

Alt media, new podcasts, everything, and be on the lookout for those very popular, conservative podcasts that just pop up with tons of followers. That would be my move if I were them. But also right here at home, we have to pay attention to the constant attacks against people's privacy and property. And we're seeing this play out with FEMA down there in North Carolina. What's happening with the land down there is connected in some way to the one health type initiatives I've talked about where, and things like Justice 40, where FEMA's using these declarations to pretty much take people's land and federalize it. And so there's Brianna Del who I'm going to have on. She one of the top most beef regulation experts in the country. She wrote a whole policy paper on this, and they'll declare an emergency Biden signs off on it. Then they can go in there and tell you whether or not you can even rebuild.

[\(00:36:10\)](#):

And then that's why people are getting \$4,500 checks for homes that used to be worth \$250,000 or more. So I think people really need to look at the war on us and the war on free people all over the world. It takes many forms, and it's not always a Red Dawn situation. It's coming at us in the boardrooms. It's coming at us in the courts, and it's coming at us sometimes from people we think are there to help. And I think it was Reagan that said it best. If they're from the government, they're probably not there to help. That's paraphrasing. But so I think when people think of warfare, they need to think of warfare the way that Chinese think of warfare, and that is don't look at the hardware, don't look at the boots on the ground. Look at the software and the software. According to the two men that wrote unrestricted warfare of 1999, the software of war is the purpose of war. So however people can obtain what you have, that's what you have to be on the lookout for. Right?

Bill Walton [\(00:37:14\)](#):

Generation warfare was written by the Chinese military officers that basically talked about fighting a war in three or four or five different dimensions, and that in fact, they basically showed all the cards. And if anybody's paying attention, we might've done something about it. But they've executed that brilliantly.

Brandon Weichert [\(00:37:36\)](#):

Oh, because we've been ignorant. Yes. Yeah,

Bill Walton [\(00:37:39\)](#):

Brandon.

Brandon Weichert [\(00:37:40\)](#):

Yeah. No, no. I think Brian is right. And I think the thing in the Carolinas is very interesting because there's also, from what I'm hearing, and we don't have to get too deep into this, I'm still learning about it. There is some weird connection to Ukraine as well with some of these land grabs. The companies that are involved with trying to get the resource mineral rights in Ukraine are the same companies trying to annex the resource mineral rights in North Carolina in particular, a lot of rare earths under the soil there. And so there's definitely weird connectivity between what's going on abroad in the empire and what's going on at home in the empire. And if Trump comes in, and people don't realize this, and maybe you know this bill because you're a master of the universe, but Bill Trump though had to make a lot of deals behind the scenes.

[\(00:38:45\)](#):

This is why he has a Jeb Bush, former Jeb Bush person as his chief of staff, Susie Wildes. Susie's very well known down here. I've met Susie many times. This is why he's got Keith Kellogg, as you said, a NeoCon. This is why he's got all these different, he's got the big tech guys now behind him in ways he never did. He's got Bill Ackerman and Jamie Diamond, the finance bros. He's got them behind him in ways that he never did. It's because I believe he knew going in, I have to cut deals behind the scenes to make these people happy so that they flip to me. It's the same thing with those Arab Americans who vote Democrat. He had to make deals with the Arab American community to flip them going into the election, and it worked. And so part of that deal making though, is he's going to have certain people around him who probably are not maga. And we're going to have to guard against that because things like these domestic mineral rights, who controls the land? Who owns the land,

[\(00:39:43\)](#):

This is key. And by the way, bill, I mean we were on CPAC stage talking about this to some degree, you and I with Margaret. Yeah. And this was her big thing. Remember she was going off about Christie name. Well, now Christie name is the DHS secretary. And apparently Christie name has not been good for private property rights in America. So there's a lot of connectivity here. So if Trump, he's got to guard against these special interests that he's had to align with because they will take him off track. And if we're not careful, we're going to still be in NATO in four years. We're going to be in the Middle East in four years. We've got to really start undoing a lot of the last 30 years. And so that's going to be the big question here is who he has around him by the end of the transition. And will those people have the kind of sway to take him off course, or will he be a bull in a China shop and stay on point? I don't

Bill Walton [\(00:40:35\)](#):

Know. Well, one of the things that I've experienced coming out of the world of private equity and finance and investments, and I was very myopically focused on that, and then got into this whole policy area, which is fascinating, but it's gotten very, very murky because in the old days, we used to have the United States security interest, and it was us against the bad guys, call it the Russians or whomever and them. And now it seems like we've got a problem where the enemy's not without, but we get an enemy within, which is what Trump has referenced from time to time. And so we deal with these things, but if you've got the globalist and the neocons really embedded inside the Trump administration, we might not likely make the progress. I think we have to.

Brandon Weichert [\(00:41:23\)](#):

It's definitely going to be, my hope is that I'm wrong, but I think that Trump will be generally successful. But I think that in some of these key, he's not going to make much headway. Not only because he's only got four years, but because of the sort of roadblocks to him.

Bill Walton [\(00:41:40\)](#):

Well, it seems like people like us though have to keep their feet to the fire. We can't just say, well, gee, he won the election. He's come in and then we were going to, all these issues that we have about our sovereignty and our personal freedoms, those are not going to go away. They're timeless. We're going to still be fighting that fight.

Brian O'Shea [\(00:41:59\)](#):

And that's a great point. We were just talking about that on our sixth car ride of the Thanksgiving season yesterday, my wife and I, and actually my really intelligent son got involved. But people, you're right. I mean, people need to understand that for democracy to work, for this experiment to work, everyone's

got to do the work. And so there seems to be too much of a tendency for people to sit back on their laurels and justify it by saying, well, I pay taxes. He should do his job. But the enemies are within, I would even say the enemies are all around. When I look at the neocons and whatever we call them, I see it as headquarters is China. The forward operating base is what I call the INTS or the W-T-O-W-H-O, all of these internationals. And then the conduit, the backdoor into the United States is Health and Human services, which has a budget 10 times larger than dhss. And that's how the globalists are able to get within our communities, right down to our school nurses, and especially believe it or not, through veterinarians who don't have to report to the state. They can report right up to the USDA. And so

Bill Walton ([00:43:15](#)):

That's an important point that the vets are not state, but they got to report directly to their Lord master in dc.

Brian O'Shea ([00:43:24](#)):

That's why there's so many veterinarians involved in both one Health and the Pandemic, because that is the back door in two people's private property because especially, I won't go too into it. One health

Bill Walton ([00:43:35](#)):

Approach, explain one health, you might do a better job than I might, but it's problematic.

Brian O'Shea ([00:43:43](#)):

And I think it's important, and it applies because you could even Google One Health in Ukraine, and they have a whole effort there,

([00:43:51](#)):

Which is brought out there by U-S-A-I-D. But One Health is this holistic approach, this paradigm shift in the way public health is treated from treating the sick to treating holistically the environment to produce equitable outcomes for plants, humans, animals, and the environment that covers everything. So the whole theory there is like, okay, to prevent the next pandemic, we may need to put all you people here in this city so you don't push into the jungle thus causing spillover. And that's really what it's about, is controlling everything to prevent the next pandemic, which is why the lab leak theory was so viciously attacked. It wasn't to just sell vaccines, it was to sell and keep selling the one health concept, which is trillions of dollars in investment all over the world. And if you think about that approach to health, that allows health officials to pretty much do whatever they want, which is why the Pandemic Treaty, which is built on one health is so dangerous, and it's coming at us again in May.

([00:45:05](#)):

And to wrap up this point, the veterinarian thing's really, really interesting because I found a YouTube video of the One Health, I dunno, there's a million of 'em, but it's like the One Health Initiative or the One Health. But these lawyers, international lawyers talking about, well, how do we get past sovereign laws? How do we get past local laws veterinarians? Because if your animal is sick, the veterinarian needs to come onto your property and take a look around and see what's going on there. And then they report it right up to the federal level. Whereas doctors during the pandemic were reporting to the state, and not all the states were reporting because they didn't trust reporting to the federal government, or they didn't have the capability to. And that was a big problem. So you can get Intel from private homes right up to entire communities through the veterinarian services. And just to bring this point home, if you look at all the Peter Daik emails that were FOIA and from

Brandon Weichert ([00:46:04](#)):

EcoHealth Alliance.

Brian O'Shea ([00:46:05](#)):

Yep. The CEO of EcoHealth Alliance, he was essentially the bagman for the NIH going out to Wuhan and

Brandon Weichert ([00:46:10](#)):

For Fauci.

Brian O'Shea ([00:46:11](#)):

Yep. You see, it's tons of veterinarians. And I'm not talking like zoonotic researchers or I'm talking pets and paws down the street, like going to funerals for an EcoHealth employee, like hundreds of them. But I always say this on every show I'm on, people need to remember that the 2018 one Health Framework was written by the World Bank and EcoHealth Alliance. This whole thing is a way to literally take land and take property and corral people into 15 minute cities, which sound like prison camps to me without firing a single shot. And who do we know that controls the WHO? Of course, China does. And WHO directly connects with Xavier Becerra over at HHS who ends almost every speech with one planet, one health.

Bill Walton ([00:47:09](#)):

Well, it's funny for people listening to this and hearing about this thinking, we're going to be talking about hot wars in Ukraine and then ending up where we are right now. These sorts of plans are on the World Health Organization website. It's on the United Nations website at great length. I think they've got their environmental services or environmental accounting, long, long, long stuff, detailed stuff about how this is going to work. And I assume it's on the World Bank website, so you can check it out firsthand to see just what they're up to.

Brian O'Shea ([00:47:45](#)):

And Brandon said it, right? It's all connected. And that's not conspiracy. This man brings his receipts and so do I. It's all connected. Go ahead, Brandon.

Brandon Weichert ([00:47:54](#)):

Well, I was just going to say, not only that, it is fifth generation warfare, which is basically the concept that you're using all measures of society to wage war and to achieve your objectives, not necessarily through direct warfare. In fact, direct kinetic fighting is the last resort for these people because they don't want to have to deal with the headache. And so this is yet another example. In my book, biohack, I talk about the new biological warfare. It's not being done with traditional biological weapons. It's being done with gain of function tests. It's being done with these things that are supposed to be helping to cure people from illnesses, and that's being weaponized. And they're using the civilian sort of world as a conduit for backdooring and developing very robust new forms of bio warfare.

Bill Walton ([00:48:50](#)):

Well,

Brandon Weichert ([00:48:53](#)):

On that happy

Brian O'Shea ([00:48:54](#)):

Note, and that's a great book, by the way. His biohack is wonderful. I mean, not wonderful

Bill Walton ([00:49:01](#)):

Biohack. That's Brandon. Yeah, well, we've talked about that,

Brandon Weichert ([00:49:04](#)):

Haven't he gave me a whole hour to talk to him about this and scare the bejesus out of him book.

Brian O'Shea ([00:49:11](#)):

He even has a section on Pigman, because I've made the joke about Seinfeld and Pigman, and he's like, oh, it's in the book. I'm like, oh, okay.

Bill Walton ([00:49:18](#)):

Well, Brandon's brand has left me speechless many times. Many people turned out to be real. Now is Tulsi Gabbard, HHS,

Brian O'Shea ([00:49:29](#)):

She would be D-N-I-D-N-I,

Bill Walton ([00:49:31](#)):

Director of National Intelligence, I think running hh. Who going Kennedys. Isn't that

Brian O'Shea ([00:49:35](#)):

Aren? It's going to be, it's supposed to be Kennedy right now.

Bill Walton ([00:49:39](#)):

Do you think

Brian O'Shea ([00:49:39](#)):

Bobby Kennedy understands what we're talking

Bill Walton ([00:49:41](#)):

About? Yes,

Brian O'Shea ([00:49:43](#)):

Yes,

Brandon Weichert ([00:49:43](#)):

Yes.

Brian O'Shea ([00:49:43](#)):

I think he's a perfect pick. It's always a lawyer position.

Brandon Weichert ([00:49:47](#)):

Well, the issue is going to be though who he surrounds himself by. I know he knows a lot of people, and so that's not really my concern, but can he get those people in and can they wrangle the bureaucracy? The bureaucracy is going to, it's the same thing with these people at CIA. It's the same thing with DOD. It's the same thing with DOJ. The bureaucracy has a method for dealing with bosses they don't like. They're going to clam up and drag their feet and basically wait him out. So can Kennedy go in there and basically knock heads together in such a way that it's going to force those bad actors in the bureaucracy to either self-select out or be fired?

Brian O'Shea ([00:50:24](#)):

Well, and he does have a great, I mean, having Dr. Jay Bach put in charge of NIH, and for those that don't know, HHS sits above nih

Brandon Weichert ([00:50:33](#)):

And even Dr. Oz at CMS, that's going to be key too.

Brian O'Shea ([00:50:38](#)):

I mean, these are all sub to HHS. And so having Bach Sharia there, I mean the real function of NIH, let's just face it, is to decide who gets grants or not.

([00:50:50](#)):

And that's a major, just having Jay, but Chaia, who is a friend of ours, and he's a great guy, and he was one of the authors of the Great Barrington Declaration, if I would say just cut off the funding immediately, because then that's going to be a major blow to HHS is influence down to the county level. They do tend to go around Congress by influencing, for instance, through cutout NGOs, which I reported on in 2021, they were able to influence directly the Los Angeles Unified School District. And that's why there were so many masks and everything. They had money coming right from HHS.

Bill Walton ([00:51:31](#)):

So one of the actions that Jay's going to take is shutting down grants to all these bad actors

Brian O'Shea ([00:51:37](#)):

Cut off Baylor first in Texas,

Bill Walton ([00:51:41](#)):

And then University of North Carolina

Brandon Weichert ([00:51:43](#)):

And University of Maryland as well.

Brian O'Shea ([00:51:45](#)):

Yep.

Bill Walton ([00:51:45](#)):

Does he have the clout to do that? Is how much pushback's he going to get?

Brandon Weichert ([00:51:49](#)):

They're going to get pushback. You wouldn't believe. But I think these guys have nothing to lose, and that's a good thing.

Bill Walton ([00:51:53](#)):

Well, that's true. We were at the brink. Ed had Trump not won. I don't like to think. Well,

Brandon Weichert ([00:52:00](#)):

Bill, my wife and I were talking about moving to Argentina.

Bill Walton ([00:52:03](#)):

Yeah, I think a lot of us were. So yeah. Then there's also Uruguay, what is it? Montevideo supposed to be

Brian O'Shea ([00:52:11](#)):

Pretty

Bill Walton ([00:52:11](#)):

Nice.

Brian O'Shea ([00:52:13](#)):

I like Argentina. I don't love their pizza though. They make such a big deal about it. And I was all excited and I got it. It was like a big piece of cake with some sauce. No offense. I love Argentina. Love Argentina.

Bill Walton ([00:52:26](#)):

So for now, we're here and we're fighting the good fight. We'll keep fighting. It strikes me that if we've got Elon Musk and I see an interrelationship between what Doge could be about and some of the problems we're talking about, because it's one thing to think about going in and cutting costs. But the real way, as I've spent a lot of time in private equity, the real way you get at cost is should we be doing any of this and should this department exist, what's the purpose of that? And there's a way to get in these agencies like HHS and beneath, where it's ostensibly cost cutting, but you're really examining what they're doing and you're taking, it's like, I don't know what the metaphor is for the deep dive examination, but you can learn an awful lot about bad stuff happening through the process of auditing these agencies.

Brandon Weichert ([00:53:23](#)):

Well, and Bill, the one thing I say though that is really worrying me about Doge is that it's not going to have oversight over the government accountability office. GAO is going to be a big problem for Doge. They're going to get in the way and they're going to meddle. I just wish there was some way Trump could make Doge sort of on top of

Bill Walton ([00:53:43](#)):

GO. Why did that happen? Was that a flaw in the design or what you

Brandon Weichert ([00:53:46](#)):

Well, the GAO is a federal bureaucracy that's been around for decades. They have control. They're congressionally mandated. Doge is just a creation of the Trump incoming Trump administration. So they'll have some leverage, but not, I mean, ultimately these bureaucrats know well, the GAO has our back, and so Trump's going to have to really put people in the GAO who are going to kind of clean house there to give Doge time to go through and do all of these audits.

Brian O'Shea ([00:54:12](#)):

Yeah, I agree. And actually Bannon was just, he was down with a bunch of donors right after the election saying, Hey, we need your best and brightest. We need your auditing teams. We need your due diligence teams, not just your check to make this happen. I like the idea of Doge being out there, because I think a great purpose for Doge would be to work from the smaller agencies up and start making examples of them. Because at a minimum, they'll create maybe not a no-go zone, but a slow zone where people will think twice before they actually go and just throw money at things needlessly. So I like the idea it's having Doge out there is having a sniper out there in the woods. It's going to slow everything down for the bad guys. But I agree with Brandon. If you don't control GAO, you don't control a lot.

Brandon Weichert ([00:55:07](#)):

Right. And also, I would just say to your example, there's an old Chinese saying, strike the chicken to scare the monkey. And that's what Doge I think is going to do.

Brian O'Shea ([00:55:17](#)):

I love it. Yeah. Is that from the 36 Stratums? Yes.

Brandon Weichert ([00:55:21](#)):

It has to be Chinese, right?

Brian O'Shea ([00:55:23](#)):

Yeah. 36 Stratums of War is ruthless.

Brandon Weichert ([00:55:26](#)):

The Chinese, by the way, the Chinese have this weird love for Trump and Elon. So maybe there's some hope also that will avoid World War II entirely because there's this love of those two guys among the Chinese people. It's very interesting

Brian O'Shea ([00:55:39](#)):

Because they make money.

Brandon Weichert ([00:55:39](#)):

Because they make money.

Brian O'Shea ([00:55:40](#)):

They love making money. That's

Brandon Weichert ([00:55:41](#)):

Right. Who

Brian O'Shea ([00:55:42](#)):

Doesn't? The thing is

Brandon Weichert ([00:55:43](#)):

Liberals,

Brian O'Shea ([00:55:43](#)):

But especially the Chinese I, and this is the Chinese people will take this as a compliment, I guarantee it. Like those little cats at Wave, when you go to China, those are waving money in. They have a God of money. There was a Chinese comedian on Netflix who, I forgot his name, but he's really funny. And he's like, just send in the Chinese to fix your budgets. We love money. And so

Bill Walton ([00:56:08](#)):

Go ahead. Go

Brian O'Shea ([00:56:08](#)):

Ahead. And there's nothing wrong with that.

Bill Walton ([00:56:10](#)):

Doesn't this put Xi at peril though? Because he's become a hard line Marxist and they shut down a lot of the capital system. He is rounding up tech entrepreneurs for direct investment in China has slowed to a trickle. Seems to me. I've heard the Chinese called the Jews of the Orient and that they're very business oriented. And by the way, I mean that as a compliment. No, I hear you.

Brandon Weichert ([00:56:35](#)):

David. Goldman has said that

Bill Walton ([00:56:36](#)):

To me. I'm all for it. I mean, most of my career in Wall Street was spent amidst the tribe. So I'm a big fan.

Brian O'Shea ([00:56:43](#)):

Oh, my wife who's Jewish, the first thing she said to me was heading off to work. I said, Hey honey, I'm sorry. I got to go to work. We're going to change this plan. She's like, Hey, you're going out to make money. That's great. That's good.

Brandon Weichert ([00:56:55](#)):

Higher purpose. I will tell you, for China's stance, I have heard from people affiliated with the CIA who say that China is actually hopeful that Trump will come in because they think they can get a deal with

him. I don't know though what they're thinking when they say that because Trump was the hardest president on China since probably Eisenhower or Truman. So it's very odd when I hear this from analysts at CIA, I don't know if that's their own personal sort of bias talking, but G is in a pickle. And I think the reason G is doing a lot of what he's doing where he's sort of now going full Marxist, I think it's because he's preparing to go to war. You don't need to be a free market capitalist if you're preparing to go to war. You need troops, you need tanks. You need them basically saluting and saying, yes, sir, to everything you do.

[\(00:57:50\)](#):

And if anybody questions you, you're going to purge them like he just did to their defense minister last week. You're going to purge them and say they're corrupt. So that's the thing that worries me is as the economy declines in China, they're going to get more desperate and more significantly violent outwardly. So one other thing I'll just add, there is a very high degree of talk among the Trump National security team, all of whom are Iran Hawks, and I am as well. But the concern that I have is that if we go kinetic against Iran in the Trump term, it's going to basically cut off China from their access to Iranian oil, which on the one hand is positive. But on the other hand, what that will do is it will further collapse the Chinese economy and it will make them go kinetic against Taiwan or the Philippines, or both sooner than we want them to.

Brian O'Shea [\(00:58:43\)](#):

But we would also have to cut off their, as of October, 2023, they started importing oil from Venezuela as soon as Biden lifted those sanctions. Yeah,

Brandon Weichert [\(00:58:54\)](#):

No, I,

Brian O'Shea [\(00:58:56\)](#):

I know you knew probably before I did, but the thing is, it's like I think there's a good effect. I don't think we would need to go to kinetic against Iran. I see. Going the opposite direction. We stopped the container ships from China for six months. You could literally collapse our economy. But not only that, we isolate China and that kills the money flows going into places like Iran and stuff. So you do solve a lot of problems.

Brandon Weichert [\(00:59:26\)](#):

That's my hope that we do that. We don't go kinetic against Iran because it's looking like there's a lot of people around Trump who want to go bombs away on Iran and that will feel very cathartic, but it's going to cause a lot of complications in the long

Brian O'Shea [\(00:59:39\)](#):

Run. Yeah, it's just a bad idea. I mean, look, Trump showed that the profitability of peace that was enforced with a big stick.

Bill Walton [\(00:59:49\)](#):

I don't see Trump going Connecticut on Iran. I just don't see it. I don't see him. It's not the way to get the job done. He knows that.

Brandon Weichert ([00:59:57](#)):

I agree, but I worry about some of the people he's put around him who are going to be really antagonizing.

Bill Walton ([01:00:03](#)):

But as you point out, you had to make deals with people in order to get this coalition put together.

Brandon Weichert ([01:00:08](#)):

Agreed, agreed.

Bill Walton ([01:00:10](#)):

But hey guys, it's an hour long. We've been into it. I thought we'd go, I could go another couple hours, but I don't have the suitability that Joe Rogan does.

Brian O'Shea ([01:00:23](#)):

I wonder, Brandon, I was going to ask, do you ever get the look from your wife when you and I are talking? Because I know

Brandon Weichert ([01:00:29](#)):

Yes I do. Yes, yes. Especially when I do it out in the living room. She's like, get out of here already. We got the kids. So let's agree. If I can get you, can I use the

Bill Walton ([01:00:38](#)):

Light now? Could I get you guys to agree to do a part two and maybe a part three sometime a little further

Brandon Weichert ([01:00:44](#)):

Out? Well Bill, I'm at your beck and call. You know that, so just let me

Bill Walton ([01:00:48](#)):

Know.

Brian O'Shea ([01:00:50](#)):

I'm happy to be at your beck and call as well.

Bill Walton ([01:00:51](#)):

Yeah, and I'm thrilled to finally meet you in this context, context and talk about all this. I think I love our conversation the way we wandered from this to that to the other thing to get to the real heart of the matter. That's sort of my favorite kind of chat. Anyway, Brian, osha, thank you. Where can we find you? You're at,

Brian O'Shea ([01:01:11](#)):

I'm on X at Brian, at Brian Oshe. That's with an I, Brian Oshe, SPI. And then over at Daily Clout you can find my show called Investigate Everything. And that's daily clout.io also on rubble.

Brandon Weichert ([01:01:27](#)):

Love it. Thank you Brandon.

Brian O'Shea ([01:01:29](#)):

Thank you.

Brandon Weichert ([01:01:30](#)):

Well you can find me usually on Twitter at we the Brandon, and you can find my books wherever they're sold. I would recommend just do Amazon. That tends to be the easiest place for people and might have a show. We get going, Brian and I don't know, we're talking about it and we'll see. We should just do it. We should just do it at this point.

Bill Walton ([01:01:53](#)):

Okay guys, well before you do that, you got to come back through me. We're going to make it happen. Absolutely. Well, we'll have you on. We're already set up to go. Hey, this is great. So anyway guys, thank you and thank you all for listening, watching the Bill Walton show. As you know, you can find us on YouTube, rumble Substack, all the major podcast platforms on CPAC website, et cetera, et cetera. And then if you like this, please subscribe, tell your to subscribe and we'll be back soon with content. A lot like this, although this show sort of set a new bar for an interesting conversation. So thanks Brian. Thanks Brandon. And thank everybody for joining Christmas.